

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

1) CRAIG ALLEN PHELPS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 23-cv-755-F
)	
1) DANIEL HOLLIMAN, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS' FINAL EXHIBIT LIST

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Craig Allen Phelps, by and through his attorneys of record, and hereby submits his objections to Defendants' Final Exhibit List in accordance with the Court's Scheduling Order.

Defendants' Exhibit List:

No.	Defendants' Exhibit	Plaintiff's Objection(s)
1.	Digital body-worn camera recordings of Jessica Dobbs at time of Plaintiff's arrest and booking on March 16, 2022.	Relevance, waste of time, confuses the issues, more prejudicial than probative. FRE 401-403.
2.	Digital body-worn camera recordings of Sgt. Daniel Holliman taken during his interaction with Plaintiff at the Dibble Police Department on March 16, 2022.	
3.	Digital body-worn camera recordings of Sgt. Daniel Holliman taken during his interaction with Plaintiff at Norman Regional Hospital on March 16, 2022.	

4.	Dibble Police Department Surveillance video of Plaintiff on March 16, 2020.	
5.	Synchronized video of exhibit 2 and exhibit 4.	Has not been produced by Defendant. Objections reserved until it has been reviewed.
6.	Plaintiff's Medical Records from Norman Regional Hospital.	Insufficient identification. Relevance, waste of time, confuses the issues, more prejudicial than probative. FRE 401-403.
7.	Plaintiff's Medical Records from St. Anthony's Hospital.	Insufficient identification. Relevance, waste of time, confuses the issues, more prejudicial than probative. FRE 401-403.
8.	Medical Records from any health care provider who treated Plaintiff for injuries that occurred on March 16, 2022.	Insufficient identification. Relevance, waste of time, confuses the issues, more prejudicial than probative. FRE 401-403.
9.	Still photos extracted from the digital body worn camera recordings.	Insufficient identification. Has not been produced by Defendant. Relevance, waste of time, confuses the issues, more prejudicial than probative. FRE 401-403.
10.	All exhibits endorsed by the Plaintiff not objected to by these Defendants	
11.	Documents yet to be provided to Defendants from Plaintiff pursuant to discovery requests sent June 22, 2024.	
12.	Additional exhibits identified in Discovery	

Plaintiff asserts a general objection to all exhibits not specifically identified by the Defendants, including its reference to "all exhibits listed by plaintiff".

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Daniel E. Smolen

Daniel E. Smolen, OBA #19943
Robert M. Blakemore, OBA
#18656

Smolen & Roytman
701 S. Cincinnati Avenue
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

P: (918) 585-2667

F: (918) 585-2669

Email: danielsmolen@ssrok.com

Email: bobblakemore@ssrok.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 9, 2024, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and the transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all counsel who have entered an appearance in this action.

/s/Daniel E. Smolen

Daniel E. Smolen